Journalists have caused and cause harm to persons with sensitivities when they:

1. subject people with environmental sensitivities to a reverse onus concerning our credibility in reporting repeatable, controllable experiences,
2. ignore generations of experience of people with all kinds of environmental sensitivities in favour of a "new, caused by the modern environment" stereotype,
3. ignore centuries of existing science, preferring instead to focus on the speculations of doctors of environmental medicine as the only basis of support for the claims of people with environmental sensitivities,
4. disappear a long-existing, legally obligating, approved, publicly insured method of diagnosis,
5. pretend that there is not widespread acknowledgement of sensitivities in such places as the CMA, OMA, the Association of Canadian Medical Colleges, the CPSO and, later, Health Canada and other federal departments,
6. ignore the actions of Health Canada under federal health ministers Epp, Beatty and Bouchard to protect people with sensitivities in health care, including the rescue of misdiagnosed patients with psychiatric sequelae,
7. ignore the abandonment of protections recommended and distributed in the 1990s by the federal health department concerning the protection of people with sensitivities in health care, and help to cover up the consequences,
8. pretend that criticisms of the approaches of doctors of environmental medicine are, universally, statements to the effect that their patients' problems were all in the mind, and
9. chose consumer spokespersons who would support the above claptrap rather than reporting facts that completely refute these misconceptions.

Journalists let down Canadians with sensitivities and the general public in the process. Journalists, in some cases with full knowledge of management, are making a continuing contribution to abuse and unnecessary deaths.

Chris Brown
21 May 2024
808-1435 Prince of Wales
Ottawa, ON K2C 1N5